

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P. D. Box 1450
Alexandria, Vinginia 22313-1450
www.unpto.zov

| APPLICATION NO.                    | FI         | LING DATE  | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.     | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|------------------------------------|------------|------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|
| 10/030,888                         | 06/07/2002 |            | Markus Leuz          | 10191/2045              | 6086             |
| 26646                              | 7590       | 09/05/2003 |                      |                         |                  |
| KENYON                             |            | ON         | EXAMINER             |                         |                  |
| ONE BROADWAY<br>NEW YORK, NY 10004 |            |            | TRAN, DIEM T         |                         |                  |
|                                    |            |            |                      | ART UNIT ·              | PAPER NUMBER     |
|                                    |            |            |                      | 3748                    | и                |
|                                    |            |            |                      | DATE MAILED: 09/05/2003 | •                |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

|  |  | M   |
|--|--|---|
|  | Application No.  | Applicant(s)  |
|  | 10/030,888   | LEUZ ET AL.   |
| Office Action Summary  | Examiner   | Art Unit  |
|  | Diem Tran  | 3748  |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication apperiod for Reply  | pears on the cover sheet with the o  | correspondence address  |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status | 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE and a state of this communication, even if timely filed. | nely filed  /s will be considered timely. In the mailing date of this communication.  DO (35 U.S.C. § 133). |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on   |  | •   |
| 2a)⊠ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)□ Th   | his action is non-final.   |   |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under   |  |   |
| Disposition of Claims  |  |   |
| 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>8,9 and 11-17</u> is/are pending in the  |  |   |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra   | wn from consideration.   |   |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.  |  |   |
| 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>8,9 and 11-17</u> is/are rejected.   |  |   |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.  |  |   |
| 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o   | or election requirement.   |   |
| Application Papers   |  |   |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examine   |  |   |
| 10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acce  |  |   |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the  | ***  |   |
| 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on   | _  | oved by the Examiner.   |
| If approved, corrected drawings are required in re   | •  |   |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  | Karılınıcı.  |   |
| 13)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig  | n priority under 35 H.S.C. & 119(  | a)-(d) or (f)   |
| a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:  | in priority under 55 C.C.C. § 115(   | 3)-(4) 01 (1).  |
| 1.⊠ Certified copies of the priority documen   | ts have been received  |   |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority documen  |  | ion No  |
| Copies of the certified copies of the price  |  |   |
| application from the International Bu  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list  | ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  | •   |
| 14)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest  | tic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(  | (e) (to a provisional application).   |
| a) The translation of the foreign language pro   | • •  |   |

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 04-01)

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)

Attachment(s)

6) Other:

4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). \_\_\_\_\_ 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) Application/Control Number: 10/030,888 Page 2

Art Unit: 3748

### **DETAILED ACTION**

This office action is in response to the amendment filed on 6/20/03. In this amendment, claims 8, 11, 16 have been amended, claim 10 has been canceled and claim 17 has been added.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 8,16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Fukuda (JP 405044439).

Regarding claims 8, 16, Fukuda discloses a method for controlling an internal combustion engine having an exhaust treatment system that includes a particle filter, comprising the step of simulating a quantity characterizing a state of congestion of the particle filter in accordance with one operating parameter of the internal combustion engine including an oxygen concentration in the exhaust gas (see abstract, part constitution).

Claim 17 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Shinzawa et al. (US patent 5,319,930).

Shinzawa discloses a method for controlling an internal combustion engine

having an exhaust treatment system that includes a particle filter, comprising the steps of:

determining an initial particle emission rate by mapping at least one operating parameter to a characteristic map (see col. 4, lines 51-58);

modifying the initial particle emission rate based on ambient conditions to determine a modified particle emission rate; determining an adjusted particle emission rate by multiplying the modified particle emission rate by a factor having a value based on temperature within the exhaust treatment system (see col. 9, lines 30+, col. 13, lines 17+); and

calculating a quantity characterizing a state of congestion of the particle filter by integrating the adjusted particle emission rate over time (see col. 5, lines 51-54).

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 9, 13-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Fukuda (JP 405044439) as applied to claim 8 above, in view of Kammel (US Patent 5,097,665).

Regarding claims 9, 13-15, Fukuda discloses all the claimed limitations as

Art Unit: 3748

discussed in claim 8 above, however, fails to disclose simulating a state of congestion of the particle filter in accordance with rotational speed of the engine. Kammel teaches that it is conventional in the art, to simulate a state of congestion of the particle filter in accordance with rotational speed of the engine (see col. 13, lines 1-10, 60-63, col. 14, lines 10-21).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made, to have determined a state of congestion of the particle filter in accordance with rotational speed of the engine as taught by Kammel, in the Fukuda device, since the use thereof would have provided a means to determine the state of clogging of the particle filter.

Claim 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Fukuda et al. (JP 405044439) as applied to claim 8 above, in view of official notice.

Fukuda discloses all the claimed limitations as discussed in claim 8 above, however, fails to disclose determining the oxygen concentration in the exhaust gas in accordance with operating parameters of the engine.

It is well known to those with ordinary skill in the art that an air/fuel ratio or an oxygen concentration in the exhaust gas can be determined by using operating parameters of the engine since the use thereof would have saved cost by not using a sensor. Thus, Fukuda can also determine an oxygen concentration in the exhaust gas in accordance with operating parameters of the engine. Therefore, such disclosure by Fukuda is notoriously well known in the art so as to be proper for official notice.

**Art Unit: 3748** 

Claim 12 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Fukuda (JP 405044439) as applied to claim 8 above, in view of Shinzawa et al. (US Patent 5,319,930).

Fukuda discloses all the claimed limitations as discussed in claim 8 above, however, fails to disclose one operating parameter including a temperature in the exhaust treatment system. Shinzawa teaches that it is conventional in the art, to utilize the exhaust gas temperature to simulate a quantity characterizing a state of congestion of the particle filter (see col. 3, lines 49-61).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made, to have utilized an exhaust gas temperature as taught by Shinzawa, in the Fukuda device, since the use thereof would have provided a means to determine the state of clogging of the particle filter.

#### Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed on 6/20/03 have been fully considered but they are moot in view of a new ground(s) of rejection.

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within

Application/Control Number: 10/030,888

Art Unit: 3748

TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not

mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the

shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any

extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later

than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication from the examiner should be directed

to Examiner Diem Tran whose telephone number is (703) 308-6073. The examiner

can normally be reached on Monday -Friday from 8:30 a.m.- 5:00p.m.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Thomas E. Denion, can be reached on (703) 308-2623. The fax number

for this group is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or

proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is

(703) 308-0861.

Diem Tran

Patent Examiner

Art unit 3748

DT

September 3, 2003

THOMAS DENION
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

Page 6

**TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700**